

**GRID ISSUES**, Grattan Healy, Ierne Wind Energy Consulting, 3rd May 2012

<b>GRID ISSUES</b>	<b>SPECIFICS</b>	<b>CAUSE(S)</b>	<b>SOLUTION(S)</b>	<b>EFFECT(S)</b>
<b>DELAY</b>				
6-9 years to get a valid connection offer (2004-2013); 10 to 15 years to get connected (2004-2019);	Group processing, 'Gates', Wind in SEM	Complex rule sets for grouping and gates	<b>'Who owns pays' grid connection policy</b>	Shorten connection timeline to 2/3 years
	Connection cost sharing	Transmission shallow connection charging		Restore planning as a criterion for grid
	Planning can't be a criterion, due to long delays, so apply for grid first	Distribution deep charging		Get small projects out of groups
	Requires more complex rules on relocating, splitting, merging (COPP), etc	Multiple grid authorities	<b>Single grid owner and operator</b>	Simplify relocation and COPP
	Complex group dynamics: - connection method - grid deposits & second payments - contestability - firm/non-firm connections - disputes	Long delays caused by 'Wind in SEM', dispatch and tie-break rules consultation (2008-2012, so far)		No Gates?
	Small projects stuck in Groups			More economically efficient
20 years plus in total to get full firm access (2004-2024)	Firm access quantity (FAQ) analyses	REFIT paid on metered output only (grid risk imposed on supported wind projects)	<b>REFIT paid on available output,</b>	Fix REFIT & attribute grid risk to grid authorities, who can deal with it
			<b>but restrict to 3 years before scheduled firm date</b>	Last three years of non-firm period provide bankable income
	Constraint & curtailment estimation(s) (PGOR, +)	Conservative modelling provides firm dates many years after end of constraints	<b>'Substantially firm' approach</b>	
Grid development progress limited and slow		Narrow plan/traditional approach	<b>Full strategic build of all aspects of grid, based on plannings</b>	Real strategic build to shorter timelines
		Excessive standard delivery times	<b>Shorter timelines</b>	
	Firm dates for majority of projects associated with delayed reinforcements, like N-S Interconnector	Inevitable delay beyond already long lead-times because of: - Grid consenting, - Grid wayleaving; - Bureaucracy; - Awaiting project commitments; - Less contracting (ESB).	<b>Full contracting &amp; Full contestability</b>	

GRID ISSUES	SPECIFICS	CAUSE(S)	SOLUTION(S)	EFFECT(S)
<b>COST</b>				
Connection cost > €300k/MW, €500k in some cases	Connection often unviable share of project capital expenditure (barrier to entry)	Transmission shallow connection charging, sometimes leading to charging for grid itself (Grid West)	<b>'Who owns pays' grid connection policy</b>	Reduced project CAPEX and don't need higher REFIT
		Distribution deep charging		
		High network costs	<b>Charges based purely on costs and no overhead contribution</b>	
		Less contracting	<b>Contracting for all grid</b>	
		Low utilization of assets due to overly conservative modelling	<b>More reasonable modelling of grid capacity</b>	
			<b>Dynamic line ratings, reflecting wind line cooling</b>	
			<b>Remedial and protection schemes</b>	
			<b>Static VAR Compensators on system etc (FOR)</b>	
			<b>Voltage and freq control with wind farms and statcoms at subs</b>	
		Limited use of new technology	<b>Short circuit limiters</b>	
<b>On load tap changers</b>				
<b>Arc suppression coil technology</b>				
<b>Collapse Prediction Relays</b>				
Costs from technical standards	Grid Code rules locally devised, over-cautious, discriminatory, enforced	Excessive and unique Grid Code standards for wind; some unhelpful wind derogations;	<b>Reasonable European grid code standards for wind turbines</b>	Greater use of standard turbines, lower cost
Maintenance costs	Charged from day one of operations	No grid connection warranty	<b>5 year warranty period with no maintenance charges</b>	Normal approach and reflects reality that there is no maintenance in the first years of the connection
		Full socialisation of all grid maintenance costs		

GRID ISSUES	SPECIFICS	CAUSE(S)	SOLUTION(S)	EFFECT(S)
<b>NON-DISPATCH</b>				
Constraint	Waste of free green energy;	Generator size limits (105% MEC) unnecessarily preventing greater grid utilization,	<b>Incentivize max grid utilization by removing 105% MEC limit</b>	Allows bigger generators, and encourages 'droop' power curves
		No REFIT payment for output lost due to grid limitations;		and discouraging 'droop' power curves (which help system)
	No SMP for output lost under non-firm;	Grid delays (contracting, consenting)	<b>Strategic grid construction, new lines higher capacities (HTLS as standard), stations</b>	Greater capacity & utilization of grid
		Grid/project interaction delaying build		
	Design of REFIT (to remove market/grid uncertainty) undermined;	Not a smart system	<b>Smart grid system:</b> - Dynamic line ratings - Remedial and protection schemes; - Short circuit limiters; - On load tap changers; - Arc suppression coil technology; - Collapse Prediction Relays.	
		Can't finance projects;		
Grid not sharing information or encouraging innovation;	Overly conservative firm dates (causing losses)	<b>'Substantially firm' approach</b>		
	Lack of Demand Side Management (DSM)	<b>Reversal to generation led - IT/Smart meters/DSM in SEM (possibly including voltage management)</b>	Paradigm shift to generation led;	
Curtailment	No comprehensive curtailment criteria or proper reporting;	Priority dispatch/access & guaranteed transmission compromised by FOR and Min Gen.	<b>Revise dispatch rules to give full rights to RES-E</b>	Priority of dispatch Priority access Guaranteed transmission;
		Facilitation of Renewables (FOR) limits imposed due to inertia etc: - ROCOF issue, - wind and fossil grid codes, - lack of static VAR Compensators etc on network.	<b>DS3 programme should:</b> - Sort ROCOF relays, - implement grid code for fossil, - implement grid code for wind, - Static VAR Compensators etc on system.	Fossil truly as back-up
	Grid development not keeping pace with generation applications, or own high demand projections.	High level of Min Gen: - fossil grid code derogations & non-compliance, - inflexible CCGTs operating as mid merit, - CCGTS not good for services, - mid-merit operating as peakers, - wrong fossil incentivization.	<b>Reduce Min Gen;</b> - revise operational measures: conventional plant to do what it was designed for; - incentivize only flexible plant in queue (low min-gen mid-merit, quick response, high inertia); - remove derogations and enforce grid	

			<b>codes; - improve or retire legacy plant; - more voltage and freq control with wind farms and statcoms at subs; - ROCOF control on conventional?</b>	
		Wind derogations	<b>Incentivize LVFRT etc for all wind farms to remove derogations</b>	
		Wind Grid Code: limited ramp rates a form of hidden curtailment	<b>Remove ramp rate limitations for wind in grid code and allow wind turbines to generate to their design algorithms</b>	
		Cumulative variables requiring very conservative wind turbine settings because operation off-algorithm problematic		
		No storage at moment	<b>Restart Turlough Hill and incentivize more storage</b>	
		Limited interconnection	<b>Incentivize more interconnection and manage exports</b>	
			<b>Sort interconnection RE priority</b>	
		Not incentivizing inertia, other services from wind and DC links;	<b>Ancillary services, inertia, proto-inertia, HVDC VSC grid services</b>	
		Tie transformer capacities	<b>Increase tie transformer capacities</b>	
		Tie-breaks/incomplete 'Wind in SEM' process	<b>HVDC meshed grid to support AC grid</b>	
		Solving constraint worsens curtailment		
		Wind variability affects reliability		
<b>OVERALL</b>			<b>Innovation program &amp; fund &amp; grid test beds</b>	Export solutions, create jobs
			<b>Overall grid roadmap &amp; oversight forum</b>	Policy/paradigm shift

Acknowledgements: Rick McGrath, Rory Mullan, Oisín McCann, Dr Josef Pesch

**© 2012 Ierne Wind Energy Consulting Ltd.  
Teach Mór Thiar  
Indreabhán  
County Galway  
Republic of Ireland  
  
www.ierne.ie**